



Beyond TO-15

Caroline Widdowson PhD, MBA, MRSC NEMC 9th August 2021



A company of the SCHAUENBURG International Group

Introduction

TO-15 Dominated Air Monitoring for 20 Years

- Superseded by TO-15a in 2020
- Influences global standards
- Evolved into more analytically challenging methods
 - Complex target lists
 - Ever decreasing limits of detection
 - High throughput methods
 - Reduced cost per analysis









TO-15 type workflow

Canisters and sample train are:

- Cleaned
- Checked
- Evacuated

Sampling

- Grab sample
- Time Weighted Average (TWA) sample

Analysis

- Criteria based
- Calibration
- Internal standard
- Scan or SIM







What has changed in TO-15a?

And how does that affect instrumentation?

- The method is much more in depth.
- Great resource for those just starting out in canister analysis
- Thorough instruction on many parts of sampling and analysis
- 1. MDLs have dropped to 20pptv
- 2. Water management techniques are now included





MDLs have dropped to the range of 20pptv

What does this affect?

| Sample volume | Larger volumes than labs are taking currently may be required Water management may be required if volumes increase Instruments which can't sample more than 400mL may not be suitable for the analysis |
|---------------------|--|
| | |
| Detector technology | Older MS instruments currently being used may not be able to get down to these levels SIM/Scan, TOF technology is now included as a suggested instrument type |
| | |
| Cleanliness | Spec for both instrumentation and canisters is now also 20pptv or below The biggest challenge the EPA saw from this change was the processes surrounding canister cleaning |
| | |



Why is water management a challenge?

Nafion[™] dryers

Monoterpenes and polar species that are lost with the water when using Nafion[™] dryers.



β-Pinene

α-Pinene

Acetone

Water

Ethanol

H₃C _ OH

H



High-Performance Water Removal

Water Abstraction Device – Kori-xr



Developed in collaboration with the National Centre for Atmospheric Science (NCAS) at the University of York.



Unique Dry-Focus3 mechanism

Remove – Focus – Dry

Dry-Focus3 harnesses the power of Kori-xr and UNITY-xr in a 3-stage operation to deliver optimum drying efficiency, sensitivity and selectivity.

Three fully-automated stages of operation:

1. Sampling

Removal of bulk airborne humidity using trap in Kori-xr whilst collecting targets on electrically cooled focusing trap.

2. Trap purge

Dry purge the focusing trap at programmable temperature (-30 to 50°C) to remove any residual water.

3. Desorption

Focusing trap rapidly heated in reverse flow to inject analytes into GC column. Simultaneously, the isolated water in the Kori-xr trap is purged to vent, ready for the next sample.



Water management techniques are now included

How does it help?

Lower detection limits

• Larger sample volumes can be taken without concern for water interference

Confidence in results

- · Stabilised retention times
- Reduced water interference

Less instrument downtime

- · Column lifetimes extended
- More time between cleaning MS



38 repeats over 1 month with CIA Advantage and Kori-xr



Peak shape and productivity



MARKES

Linearity and MDLs



| Compound | MDL in SIM |
|---------------------|------------|
| Propene | 5 ppt |
| Vinyl Chloride | 1 ppt |
| Carbon disulfide | 2 ppt |
| 1,4 – Dioxane | 5 ppt |
| Toluene | 3 ppt |
| Styrene | 2 ppt |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 2 ppt |



The newest challenge in air monitoring



Ozone Precursors Smog forming compounds

Very volatile, non-polar C_2 - C_{12} hydrocarbons.

e.g US EPA PAMS



Air Toxics Hazardous air pollutants

Polar, non-polar and halogenated compounds

e.g US EPA TO-15



OVOCs Oxygenated VOCs

Aldehydes and ketones e.g formaldehyde.

e.g US EPA TO-11A



The newest challenge in air monitoring

Obtaining double the data in the same amount of time

- Combining 3 target lists
- Total of 117 compounds in 1 hour
 Mandatory in China but of growing interest worldwide
 Integrating the analysis of formaldehyde by TD-GC-MS, without derivatisation



TO-15

Air toxics

- Comprise of polar and non-polar VOCs, as well as a range of halogenated compounds
- The atmosphere is sampled by introduction of air into a specially-prepared stainless steel canister
- Pre-concentration is key





PAMS

Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Scheme (PAMS)

- VOCs and NOx play a pivotal role in the creation of ground-level ozone.
- Usually polar species are not of interest although this is changing, especially in USA.
- Water management is key, especially if polar, alcohols & pinenes are of interest as a Nafion dryer can't be used.





OVOCs

Oxygenated volatile organic compounds

- Resource-hungry workflow; usually analysed via TO-11A → Derivatisation → HPLC
- Incorporation of aldehydes in online and canister instrumentation for unattended analysis on the same systems as other VOCs

OVOCs:

- 1. Formaldehyde
- 2. Acetaldehyde
- 3. Crotonaldehyde
- 4. Methacrylaldehyde
- 5. Butyraldehyde
- 6. Benzaldehyde
- 7. Pentanal
- 8. m Tolualdehyde





Challenges of analyzing 117 compounds

- Quantitative retention of very volatile to volatile organic compounds in a single analysis
 - -Trapping of the full compound list
 - Fast desorption of all compounds for sharp peaks aiding GC separation
- Automated unattended analysis

IARKES

- -Capacity to run without user intervention
- Independent check of system performance for every sample with IS addition
- Water management with no loss of polar compounds
 - -Allows larger sample volumes for maximum sensitivity
 - -Protects GC columns and detectors from wear due to water



Challenges of analyzing 117 compounds

- Ability to sample from canister or online
 - Allows the same instrumentation to be used for on-line or canister samples
- Trapping and separation of 117 compounds with < 60 minute cycle times
 - -For hourly time-resolution and full data coverage





Why is this methodology of interest globally?

Complexity of the requirement

- No cryogen used
- C₂ to Naphthalene difficult chromatographically
- The range of chemical classes included
 - Hydrocarbons
 - Halogenated
 - Alcohols
 - Aldehydes
 - Ketones
 - Dioxane
 - Aromatics and PAHs



Formaldehyde

- Ambient air
- Indoor Air
- Vehicle interior air



Choosing the right water management approach

 Monoterpenes and polar species that are lost with the water when using Nafion[™] dryers are retained in the sample with Kori-xr.

| Compound | Detected using Nafion dryer? | Detected using Kori-xr? | Response linearity (R ²) using Kori-xr |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Ethanol | × | \checkmark | 0.973 |
| Acetone | \checkmark | \checkmark | 0.993 |
| Toluene-d ₈ (I.S.) | \checkmark | \checkmark | 1.000 |
| Ethylbenzene | \checkmark | \checkmark | 0.999 |
| α-Pinene | × | \checkmark | 0.999 |
| β-Pinene | × | \checkmark | 0.997 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | \checkmark | \checkmark | 0.999 |

Comparison carried out using air at 80% relative humidity











MARKES

MS & FID detection with Deans switch

Why?

The large range in volatility in the complex target list calls for:

- Separation on highly retentive columns
 - PLOT columns provide the best separation of the Ultra volatile PAMS species
- Consideration of what detector will be most suitable for each compound
 - -Whilst it is very volatile formaldehyde cannot be detected sufficiently using FID detectors

Use the best of both detectors to minimise analytical time and achieve best possible MDLs





Optimum sensitivity together with excellent peak shape

Deans switch method





Getting the best separation and right detector

Using a *double-cut* Deans switch method



The Challenge:

- Formaldehyde must go to the MS
- C₂ and C₃ compounds on FID but also require a stronger column





PAMs, TO-15 & OVOC in a single analysis with no liquid cryogen





PAMs, TO-15 & OVOC in a single analysis with no liquid cryogen





PAMs, TO-15 & OVOC in a single analysis with no liquid





PAMs, TO-15 & OVOC in a single analysis with no liquid





PAMs, TO-15 & OVOC in a single analysis with no liquid cryogen 64 6. 61 1,2-Dichloropropane 62 Methyl methacrylate 5-63 1,4-Dioxane Abundance (× 10^5 counts) 2-64 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane 65 Bromodichloromethane 4 66 Trichloroethene 67 n-Heptane 67 65 3. 2-66 61 62 1 63 1





Reproducible unattended analysis

Excellent retention time stability

- Highly reproducible data:
 - < 7.5% RSD on response across 10 replicates for all compounds
 - < 2.1% RSD for internal standard compounds
- Very stable retention times:
 - < 0.17% RSD across 16 replicates for all compounds



Example compounds covering the polarity and volatility range of the target list: 10 replicate analysis of 10 ppb standard at 100% RH overlay perfectly for all compounds



Great linearity and low detection limits

...at 100% relative humidity!

Excellent linearity at 100% relative humidity

- 1.25 to 15 ppb equivalent
- All R² values > 0.990
- Relative response factors highly reproducible
- % RSD of RRF ≤ 12% (method limit 30%)



Low method detection limits

- All MDLs < 200 ppt
- Average MDL ~ 50 ppt

| Compounds | MDL (ppt) |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Toluene | 8 |
| Vinyl acetate | 72 |
| Naphthalene | 26 |
| <i>m</i> -Tolualdehdye | 70 |
| Formaldehyde | 105 |
| Dichlorodifluoro methane | 22 |
| Isopropanol | 114 |
| Chloroethene | 47 |
| Propane | 22 |
| N-Dodecane | 73 |
| Ethene | 92 |
| Acetylene | 99 |
| Ethanol | 43 |
| 1,4-Dioxane | 120 |



Beyond TO-15

- Global drive for
 - Broader compound lists
 - Lower detection limits



- Quantitative retention of very volatile to volatile organic compounds in a single analysis
- Online, unattended sampling and analysis
- Faster analysis
 - Trapping and separation of 117 compounds with < 60 minute cycle times
- Lower cost per sample
- Flexible sampling options Canister, Online and tubes
- Water management with no loss of polar compounds

